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I Love Modeling!
Journey: Concrete to Abstract
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Journey: Managing Complexity
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Why Do I Love Modeling?

- Helps me understand the world through the eyes of experts
- Helps me simplify incomprehensible detail
- Reduces rework in documents, schemas, and code
  - Helps with naming and organizing
  - Helps separate domain constraints from implementation constraints
  - No boilerplate code!
- Can generate schemas, and code, e.g.
  - ModelPro
  - AndroMDA
  - xUML
- But…
My Disappointments

- Modeling is not mainstream for software developers
- Most software developers are unmotivated to learn how to model
- Modeling leads to simplifications that seem obvious (but aren’t)
- Most models focus on technical details and data structures
- Most models useful only for one system
- Some models are inert and not updated over time
- Some models are polluted with transformation directives
- What’s worse than disappointment?
Hostility

- Unfashionable
- Kind of tried it once, but it didn’t work
- Snide comments at developer conferences
- Not worth the effort
- Hard to understand
- Too “waterfall” for Extreme Programming / Agile (although orthogonal concern)
- Tools too expensive
- The code is the model
- Models are just sketches
- Sometimes they had proud ignorance of analysis and design principles
- Yet, some are not so hostile...
Possibility

- Uptick in ontologies, semantics, and conceptual modeling
  - Huge demand for semantics from system engineering (SysMLv2)
  - (Lots to learn from SEs!)
  - Industrial Ontology Foundry (IOF)
  - Financial Industry Business Ontology (FIBO)
  - ISO 21838 (Information technology — Top-level ontologies)
    - Part 2: Basic Formal Ontology (BFO)
    - Part 3: Descriptive ontology for linguistic and cognitive engineering (DOLCE)
  - Open Biomedical Ontology (OBO) Foundry
  - OntoUML / UFO / gUFO
  - Conceptual ontology consultancies, such as The Shed Group

- Standards for simulation and execution
  - fUML, Alf, PSSM – all we need for standardized xUML!

- Ontologies and Executable UML are not new!
Everything Old Is New Again

- Ontology
- Object-Oriented Analysis
- Executable UML
- Domain Driven Development


Mind The Gap!

- The state of practice lags the state of the art
  - Insufficient empirical evidence
  - Rollout left to industry
  - Chicken and egg tool problem in the marketplace

- Incumbent work force
  - Comfortable working in a particular way
  - Prefer doing over learning
  - Getting code to work creates a “high”
  - Cannot afford a loss of productivity
  - Prefers evolutionary changes, not revolutionary changes
  - Needs fashionable skills on their resumes
Bridge The Gap!

- Convincing and motivating case studies
- Progressive benefits (an easy to learn 20% yields 80% impact)
- Widely accepted, respected, understood, and practiced methodology
- Enjoyable, “gamified” experiences
- Productive tools for development and interoperability
- It might start with reusable, conceptual ontologies...

Francis: "If you need a PhD in modelling to do modelling, we have failed." #wmm18
The Existing Landscape (Across the Gap)

- **OntoUML / UFO / gUFO**
  - Has empirical evidence
  - Well-foundedness prevent mistakes
  - Tools since at least the 2010’s; new tool in development

- **Basic Formal Ontology (BFO)**
  - Hundreds of ontologies in use
  - Many more in development at the Industrial Ontology Foundry (IOF)
  - OBO Foundry and tools since the 2000’s

- **SysML**
  - Huge demand from systems engineers
  - Lots to learn from system engineers
  - SysMLv2 on the way
  - Tools since the 2010’s

- **xUML**
  - Shlaer/Mellor tools since the 1980’s
  - xUML tools since the 2000’s
  - fUML / Alf tools since the 2010’s

Emerging: explicit modeling of the *problem* domain!
A Vision of the Future

- Per-domain reference ontology
  - Use an upper ontology (e.g., BFO / UFO / DOLCE)
  - Model the \textit{reality} of a domain
  - Reify qualities (to allow multiple value spaces)
  - Reify material relations (to uncover truth-makers)

- Per-solution analysis and design
  - Reduce scope and adjust per information demand
  - Use a state machine and events per concept
  - Use an action language to respond to an event
  - Simulate
  - Generate code and schemas
  - Semantically interoperate
Changing the Modeling Landscape

Standards Based Tools
- xUML Tools
- OntoUML Tools
- Cameo EA
- Sparx EA
- OLED
- Menthor
- (Name TBD)

xUML Tools
- fUML / Alf reference implementation
- Cameo Simulation Toolkit
- Moka / Papyrus UML
- Pópulo
- xtUML (Bridgepoint)

Semantic Web Tools
- Protégé
- TopBraid Composer

The Cameo Concept Modeler
In Summary

- I love modeling, despite some disappointments and hostilities
- Let’s learn from those disappointments
- I see a widening gap between state of practice and state of the art
- However, there has been an uptick in ontology modeling
- Let’s focus more on the *problem domain*
- I have a tool and a vision that could make modeling mainstream
- “If you want to go fast, go alone; but if you want to go far, go together.” – *African proverb*
- Please join me on my modeler’s journey
- And *mind the gap!*
The End